Reply to Office Action of: July 12, 2006

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application, as amended, in view of the following remarks.

The present invention as set forth in amended Claim 1 provides a process for compacting a green compact, comprising:

spraying a powdery higher fatty acid-based lubricant which is dispersed in a dispersion comprising a surfactant onto an inner surface of a die, which is heated;

filling a raw material powder whose major component is an active metallic element into the die;

compacting the raw material powder by warm pressurizing to make a green compact; and

ejecting the green compact from the die;

whereby the resulting green compact has a high density;

wherein the active metallic element is titanium or aluminum;

wherein, in the compacting step, a new metallic soap film being different from the higher fatty acid-based lubricant and comprising the active metallic element is formed on a surface of the green compact.

In contrast, <u>JP5071206A</u> and <u>Inculet et al</u> fail to disclose or suggest a process for compacting a green compact in which a powdery higher fatty acid-based lubricant which is dispersed in a dispersion comprising a surfactant is sprayed onto an inner surface of a die, which is heated; and wherein, in the compacting step, a new metallic soap film being different from the higher fatty acid-based lubricant and comprising the active metallic element is formed on a surface of the green compact.

The spraying of the powdery higher fatty acid-based lubricant which is dispersed in a dispersion comprising a surfactant allows uniform application of the lubricant onto the inner

Reply to Office Action of: July 12, 2006

surface of the die. See paragraphs [0067, 0068 and 0069] of the specification. Further as a result of the new uniform metallic soap film formed on the surface of the green compact, the ejection force is remarkably reduced. See paragraphs [0024 and 0025] of the specification. See also **new Claims 37 and 38**.

Notably, the ejection force is reduced by a factor of about 1/10 compared with the case where the conventional powder compacting processes are used. See paragraph [0089] of the specification. At the same time it is possible to increase the compacting pressure and obtain green compact having a high density without a large increase in ejection force. See paragraphs [0089, 0090 and 0091] of the specification.

Further the Examples of the present invention use a die wall lubricant according to the present invention. See paragraphs [0102, 0103, and 0106] of the specification. In contrast, the Comparative Examples use a commercially available dry fluorine lubricant. See paragraph [0110] of the specification. As a result, the ejection force is much larger in all Comparative Examples as shown in <u>Table 1 which is reproduced below</u>.

In addition, <u>JP5071206A</u> and <u>Inculet et al</u> fail to disclose or suggest a process for compacting a green compact in which the raw material powder is compacted by warm pressurizing to make the green compact.

The Examiner has recognized that <u>JP '206</u> does not disclose compacting the raw material powder by warm pressurizing. <u>Inculet et al</u> think that warm pressing as well as cold pressing of conventional metal powders are equally suitable for the compacting process (col. 5, lines 5-15).

However, superior properties are achieved when using the warm compacting as shown by the Examples in the specification.

When compacting is done at **room temperature** as in the Comparative examples, the green compact density, the relative density of the green compact, the sintered body density,

Reply to Office Action of: July 12, 2006

the relative density of the sintered body become small. In addition, large dimensional changes before and after sintering occur. Please see the Table 1 below which is reproduced from page 44 of the specification and Figures 1-4 and 8 and 9 of the specification. On the other hand, using the process according to the present invention, excellent green compact density, excellent relative density of the green compact, excellent sintered body density, and excellent relative density of the sintered body are achieved. In addition, the dimensional changes before and after sintering are much smaller than in the Comparative Examples. The superior properties obtained using the process of the present invention are not disclosed or suggested by JP5071206A and Inculet et al, either alone or in combination.



Application No.: 10/615,939
Reply to Office Action of: July 12, 2006
TABLE 1

Sample No.	ö	Compo- sition	Compac- tion	Ejection Force	Green Compact	pact		Metallic Sintered Body	ntered Body		Note
		,		1404/		1	200	24.24.2	Della time	74-0-10-10-1	
		λα »)	Pres-	(Mra)	Green-	Relative	outside	Sincered-	Relative	Dimension	
		mass)	Bure		compact	Density		body	Density	Change	
			(MPa)		Density	E	_	Density	3	before and	
					(g/cm)		tion	(a/cm²)		after	
							(IIII)			Sintering (%)	
EX.	1-1	Pure Ti	588	3.5	4.025	89.2	23.059	4.325	95.9	-2.60	
	1-2		784	1.9	4.193	93.0	23.061	4.384	97.2	-1.59	
	1-3		980	2.4	4.292	95.2	23.065	4.451	98.7	1.37	
	1-4		1176	2.5	4.364	8.96	23.070	4.496	7.66	-1.11	
	1-5		1372	2.6	4.391	97.4	23.075	4.501	8.66	-0.87	
	1-6		1568	2.2	4.422	0.86	23.079	4.505	6.66	. 09.0-	
	2-1	Ti-	784	6.0	3.991	91.1	23.065	4.403	99.3	-3.26	Mixture Powder of
	2-2	6A1-	1176	0.5	4.201	6.36	23.071	4.407	99.4	-1.61	Pure Ti Powder and
	2-3	40	1568	0.5	4.285	8.76	23.081	4.412	5.66	-0.96	Alloy Powder
	3-1	T1-	784	5.1	3.956	90.2	23.072	4.332	97.4	-3.04	Mixture Powder of
	3-2	6A1-	1176	3.6	4.143	94.3	23.078	4.395	8.86	-2.06	said Mixture
	3-3	40	1568	2.7	4.234	96.5	23.083	4.412	99.2	-1.46	Powder and Hard-
		+6TiB,									particle Powder
	ļ		ç		,	0 20	700 00				7
	1-6	Pure AL	392	?	Z.613	36.8	766.77			•	
	4-2		588	0.7	2.656	98.4	22.992	•		1	
	4-3		784	0.7	2.672	0.66	22.994	•	•	•	
	5-5		980	0.7	2.682	5.66	22.994		-	•	
	4-5		1176	8.0	2.686	5.66	22.993	-	,	•	
	9-6		1372	8.0	2.667	8.66	22.994	1	•	•	
	L-6		1568	0.5	2.667	8.66	22.995	_	-	-	
	1-5	A1-	885	1.3	2.616	93.4	23.014	-	[-]	1	Equivalent to
	5-2	-u29	980	1.1	2.741	6.76	23.029	_	•	-	JIS A7475
	5-3	2Mg- 1.5Cu	1568	1.4	2.794	8.66	23.038		•	-	
Comp.	C1-1	Pure Ti	294	8.0	3.209	71.2	23.068	4.235	93.9	-9.58	Room-temp.
X	C1-2		441	16.3	3.521	78.1	23.075	4.290	95.1	-6.94	Compaction
	£-13		588	24.1	3.728	82.9	23.082	4.300	95.3	-4.95	
	C2-1	Pure Al	392	4.1	2.583	7.26	23.056		-	•	Room-temp
	C2-2		588	5.7	2.615	6.96	23.057	ı	•	•	Compaction
	C2-3		784	6.5	2.640	97.8	23.059		-		

Reply to Office Action of: July 12, 2006

Only when all claimed conditions (including the warm pressurizing) are satisfied, the ejecting force can be decreased substantially.

For example, as for warm pressurizing, there is the description that "the alcohol-based solvents evaporate instantaneously so that it is possible to form a uniform lubricant film with ease" in [0068] of the specification.

Further, Claim 36 relates to a process for compacting a green compact, comprising: spraying a powdery higher fatty acid-based lubricant which is dispersed in a dispersion comprising a surfactant onto an inner surface of a die, which is heated;

filling a raw material powder whose major component is an active metallic element into the die;

compacting the raw material powder by warm pressurizing to make a green compact; and

ejecting the green compact from the die;

whereby the resulting green compact has a high density;

wherein the active metallic element is titanium or aluminum;

wherein, in the compacting step, a new metallic soap film being different from the higher fatty acid-based lubricant and comprising the active metallic element is formed on a surface of the green compact;

wherein, when the active metallic element is titanium, a green density being an apparent density of the green compact is 85% or more of a true density determined by a composition of the raw material powder; and

wherein, when the active metallic element is aluminum, a green density being an apparent density of the green compact is 90% or more of a true density determined by a composition of the raw material powder.

Reply to Office Action of: July 12, 2006

Regarding Claim 36, it has been the technical common knowledge that it is impossible to form raw material powders comprising an active metallic element such as Ti and Al by high pressures at industrial level. This is because galling occurs on the inner surface of dies instantaneously or the inner surface of dies is roughened when such high-pressure compacting is carried out. Moreover, it is because the resulting green compacts cannot be ejected from dies. In addition, very expensive dies cannot be used even after one and only high-pressure compacting operation so that a great loss might arise. See paragraph [0011] of the specification.

In addition, the green compacts have a low density because the pressure cannot be increased. For example, when green compacts comprise a Ti powder, the green compacts have a density which is only 80% or less of the true density. See paragraph [0012] of the specification.

Moreover, when Ti powders are formed conventionally by pressuring using an internal lubrication method, a dewaxing step is required additionally before the resulting green compacts are sintered in vacuum. In addition, since the major components of the lubricants used in this instance, such as hydrogen, nitrogen and carbon, are likely solve in Ti, internal lubrication methods are unpreferable. See paragraph [0013] of the specification.

Another concern is the low dimensional accuracy of the green compacts. See paragraph [0014] of the specification.

In case of Al powders there is an additional concern that when Al powders are mixed with lubricants and are formed thereafter, it is impossible to dewax sufficiently because the dewaxing temperature of the lubricants is close to 500 °C approximately, the sintering temperature of the resulting green compacts.

<u>JP5071206A</u> and <u>Inculet et al</u> do not recognize the specific requirements necessary for Ti and Al containing metal powders.

Reply to Office Action of: July 12, 2006

However, the process according to the present invention, as set forth in Claim 36, gives excellent green compact density, excellent relative density of the green compact, excellent sintered body density, and excellent relative density of the sintered body. In addition, the dimensional changes before and after sintering are much smaller. See the Examples and Comparative Examples.

Therefore, the rejection of Claims 1-26, 29 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over <u>JP5071206A</u> (JP'206) in view of <u>Inculet et al</u> is believed to be unsustainable as the present invention is neither anticipated nor obvious and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

This application presents allowable subject matter, and the Examiner is kindly requested to pass it to issue. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the claims or otherwise wish to discuss this case, he is kindly invited to contact Applicants' below-signed representative, who would be happy to provide any assistance deemed necessary in speeding this application to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon

Customer Number

22850

Kirsten A. Grueneberg, Ph.D

Registration No.: 47,297